

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/445,733	ILYASHENKO, VICTOR M.
	Examiner Mathieu D. Vargot	Art Unit 1732

**All Participants:**

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(2) Mr. Pomianek.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 31 was amended by adding claim 33 thereto and a recitation as to forming the concentration gradient as per conversation with Mr. Pomianek and set forth in an e-mail from Mr. Pomianek.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)